

As to a working hypothesis for the appearance and origin of Charlie Red Star. I don't think enough can be said. By this I mean that no matter what I say it still will not convince hardheaded biologists who care to see the ET theory dropped for something more rational to appease, for the sake of credibility, the rest of the scientific community.

In the case of the Charlie-Red Star sightings, and I'm sure other flaps in other places, the ET theory has always remained the most logical. Irregardless of all the "counter theories" that have arisen in the past few years, the ET is still the instructive response given by those involved in sightings.

When "Charlie" flew low over western Manitoba farms and houses, it was images of "another civilization" that filled the minds of those involved. It is only those who receive the second hand reports that counter explanations such as marsh gas and manifestations of a psychic nature arise. These noble men, whose job it is to present something logical and workable to their scientific colleagues, are rarely involved in the actual encounter, and can therefore edit reports by using only those incidents which will aid in the final draft of the report.

This is a very important point, because as a researcher I know that "Your argument is only as good as the facts you wish to leave out." Consider for example the case of the U.R.C analysis of the Busbuck photographs of July 9th (cont)

On an honest evaluation of the situation "all observations must be accounted for." This is because once you have enough facts, careful selection will enable you to take any position you wish. It would be similar to political economic analysts of opposing political parties, who with the same set of figures, can make the economy look good or bad depending on which side of the house they are sitting.

on. As a matter of fact I was told that this technique is a part of the final station exam at the U of M. where careful selection of figures is used to take two opposing positions at the same time.

I found that when reviewing the tale of Charlie Red Star that the ETFC hypothesis was the only hypothesis that could be used to account for all the evidence. In viewing any of the other counter-hypothesis I found that ~~them~~ to make it fit I had to disregard a lot of observations, and that it was necessary to twist other facts in order to make the theory fit.

No matter how much anyone finds himself sceptical of this hypothesis I again re-emphasize that it is the only one that begins to account for the things that have happened here in Manitoba.

This of course is not to say that our hypothesis is a conclusion. It is a working hypothesis and can be used to predict events and account for things that happen. This is I believe as far as one can go until the craft goes on public display. Like atoms, molecules, and expanding universes ~~it~~ there will be no proof for some time to come. If something better comes along ~~it~~ ~~because~~ we will "hopefully" adopt the new system. As — said.

As for when this day is, I can ~~we~~ clearly see that it is not in the foreseeable future, and because of the strengths of the ETFC hypothesis, I doubt it will ever come. I think that will will much sooner present sufficient evidence in favor of the extra terrestrial to satisfy the sceptics, than to believe that someday ~~will~~ we will discover it to be something more in line with the theory of relativity like perhaps "a flock of

J
flying turtles."

The hesitancy towards the ETI hypothesis is of course not the evidence. There is sufficient evidence of nuts & bolts hardware, and funny looking people to satisfy even the most down to earth scientist.

The problem with the theory is the apparent conflict between ET visitations and the theory of relativity. Scientists with their paper itching (math formulas) shown visitations from such distances as quite impossible by their personal ~~and~~ yardsticks of achievement.

What they have I think forgotten is the fact that they have projected themselves into these objective figures that they have scribbled on paper. The possibilities of ETI visitations is measured by using maximum values that are measured by the peak of what they themselves are able to achieve.

This self imposed limit that scientists have imposed can be seen by the assumptions they have made. They have assumed relativity where mass cannot go faster than the speed of light, but they forget that relativity is something that they themselves concocted. ~~At the time~~, because someone hypothesises it, it does not make it a quality of nature. They have done the same by assuming the existence of mass, time and space.

Time - Hill case.

Now if time, space, light speed, and mass as basic to nature, are facts then the limit on possible visits is justified, but recent research in many various fields leads me to believe that we have "created" pretty screwed up ideas about all four of our assumptions.

Conclusion

"I know that they exist" - because of experience
"I believe that they are ET" - I have not experience. I do not know.

"It's our ideas of matter that are wrong"

All matter does what these saucers do.

Instead of assuming our ideas of matter
right and adding on the paraphysical

↳ a scientific principle

be compared to the work that was done in Manitoba.
Consider the world to be a wagon train sitting in ~~the~~ a
valley of California. Sagon and his colleagues spend
their time trying to find Indians beyond the upcoming
hill with their telescopes and by sending up smoke signals
in English.

Meanwhile the women are screaming that there are
Indians in and around the wagon train, but their
cries fall on deaf ears. The wise and scholarly have not
yet seen an Indian, and therefore they must be in the
hills. it is ridiculous to say that they are among us.

~~How~~ They are shown men with arrows in their
back, but this is not evidence as it can be accounted for
in other ways. Those who ~~have not~~ make the claims are
just common everyday people, and therefore they in their
~~ignorance~~ must be mistaken.

Like the inevitable meeting of a band of Indians,
present day scientists 'who state that there is only "one
instance of the origin of life' are found for the shock

of their lives. If Darwin's theory of freak accident
is true then there should be no other accidents quite
like us. Accidents of the magnitude described by
Darwin could only happen once, and then the ^{once is only proved} _{by its existence.}

Yet descriptions given of the pilots of these
UFOs tell us that they are very much like us. Therefore
we call them huminoids. We are told that they are
small - a little over three feet, have large foreheads
and almond shaped eyes. [Ⓢ]

The Darwinist is therefore confronted with a
problem. The evolution of man was the result of
^{check quote} chance - it would never happen again. Man lives without
reason, without guidance from above. He is just one random
hand of cards in the giant poker game in the sky.

Suddenly, this second similar creature appears,
and the Darwinist is forced to explain how this "one
time occurrence" happened again.

Ⓢ This is the classic description. There seem to be other not so
common types differing in size, and looks

That Institute Trust

15th Aug 1951

There are strong indications from the literature that many types of similar creatures are stamping ~~down~~ around our wicker train, and therefore the accident seems to have occurred many times.

If the Darwinist were forced to take the evidence seriously, he would be required to admit to some guiding force to the evolutionary system, or give ~~him~~^{man} a purpose which would thereby make him a necessary part of the evolutionary system.

Darwinist's will admit that everything except man has a purpose in the evolutionary scheme of things. We are given a world view of countless ecosystems all working together to maintain a "balance of nature." Therefore it comes as no surprise that ecologists put up such a fuss, when man's ignorance threatens to extinguish a link of the chain. The complex and delicate balance is lost.

Only when it comes to man are biologists silent as to purpose. Dr. James Watson, Nobel prize winner, and co-developer of the DNA molecule structure, stated

"No ^{for} man. To be, is reason enough." Therefore
according to Watson there is no reason for man to
be a part of the evolutionary system. It was the result
of blind chance, and we're all lucky to be here.

Now through UFO research done here in Manitoba
and elsewhere in the world, the plain fact is arising that
we are not alone. If Darwinist dogma is to hold up, then
a purpose for man must be found. Otherwise, the evidence
states that the Darwinist ~~basic~~ basic assumptions about
the implications of evolution must be rejected.

So it then no wonder that scientists reject the
evidence for the reality of ETs even before they open the
book.

A ~~scientific~~ scientific defense could be made by
the fact that ~~there~~ extra terrestrials have not been
proven ² F.P.
proof.

→ death - only symptoms of overall situation

psi which dies, gives up, or moves into

death is when the consciousness leaves the body behind
consciousness leaves at critical times

linguae near.

difference between two types of death -

① illness patient leaves on own accord - moves to
paradise

② enforced - forced out - linguae near to see the
overall effect of treatment

- in "Hexes" the physical cause of death is unimportant

hope falsely instilled in "terminal cancer patients"
there may be a cure - when NCI states "cure not expected"
prevention - patient can read through this
- attitude of those around influence health
- hospital with faith healer, Lactulose or a secret new
cure sugar & water - running around feeling better

attitude
authority
placebo effect.

Placebo - that
which transfers the
will to live into
physical reality

those who. rough birth pessimistic about life
have a hard time long suffering

"you die as you live and you live as you die"

patients being given enough morphine to kill
a horse. - become immune

Space men coming with a cure for cancer.

people die feeling that they have lived for a purpose.

- last confessions leave guilt - "why didn't I do this before"

connection between birth and death - similarities

reverse distortions